	Page 1			
1	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT			
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK			
3	Case No. 23-10063-shI			
4	x			
5	In the Matter of:			
6				
7	GENESIS GLOBAL HOLDCO, LLC,			
8				
9	Debtor.			
10	x			
11				
12	United States Bankruptcy Court			
13	300 Quarropas Street, Room 248			
14	White Plains, NY 10601			
15				
16	February 9, 2023			
17	2:09 PM			
18				
19				
20				
21	BEFORE:			
22	HON SEAN H. LANE			
23	U.S. BANKRUPTCY JUDGE			
24				
25	ECRO: UNKNOWN			

Page 2 HEARING re Doc. #61 Emergency Motion To Authorize Debtor Genesis Global Holdco, LLC To Consent To Priming Of Lien HEARING re Doc. #62 Motion To Shorten Time / Debtors Motion To Shorten Notice Period And Schedule A Hearing With Respect To Debtors Emergency Motion For Entry Of An Order Authorizing Debtor Genesis Global Holdco, LLC To Consent To Priming Of Lien Transcribed by: Sonya Ledanski Hyde

	1 9 3 61 20
	Page 3
1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
4	Attorneys for the Debtors
5	One Liberty Plaza
6	New York, NY 10006
7	
8	BY: JANE VANLARE
9	JACK MASSEY
10	
11	PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
12	Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Genesis Customers
13	Eleven Times Square
14	New York, NY 10036
15	
16	BY: BRIAN ROSEN
17	
18	KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
19	Attorneys for Ad Hoc Group of Creditors
20	601 Lexington Avenue
21	New York, NY 10022
22	
23	BY: ROSS FIEDLER
24	CHRISTOPHER MARCUS
25	

	Pg 4 01 20 Page 4
4	
1	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE
2	Attorneys for the U.S. Trustee
3	201 Varick Street, Suite 1006
4	New York, NY 10014
5	
6	BY: GREG ZIPES
7	
8	HUGHES HUBBARD & REED LLP
9	Attorneys for Gemini Trust Company, LLC
10	One Battery Park Plaza
11	New York, NY 10004
12	
13	BY: ANSON B. FRELINGHUYSEN
14	JEFFREY S. MARGOLIN
15	
16	ALSO PRESENT TELEPHONICALLY:
17	PHILIP ABELSON
18	NATHANIEL ALLARD
19	ERIC C. DAUCHER
20	ADAM J. GOLDBERG
21	VINCENT INDELICATO
22	ZUL JAMAL
23	KEN LUKASZEWSKI
24	JACK MASSEY
25	KYLE MCKUHEN

	Py 5 01 20	
		Page 5
1	BRETT MATTHEW NEVE	
2	SEAN A. O'NEAL	
3	GREGORY F. PESCE	
4	STEVEN J. REISMAN	
5	SHAYA ROCHESTER	
6	BRIAN SANDLOW ROSEN	
7	AIDAN RYAN	
8	JEFFREY SAFERSTEIN	
9	JORDAN SAZANT	
10	GORDON SUN	
11	JOSH SUSSBERG	
12	NACIF TAOUSSE	
13	BENJAMIN TEICH	
14	FRANCISCO VAZQUEZ	
15	MEGHANA VUNNAMADALA	
16	MICHAEL WEINBERG	
17	PAUL ARONZON	
18	ARIAM ASMEROM	
19	ERIC ASQUITYH	
20	BRENDON BARNWELL	
21	BRIANNA B. BILTER	
22	SABRINA BREMER	
23	BRIAN BULTHUIS	
24	RYAN CHEN	
25	TOM CONHEENEY	

1	Py 0 01 20	
		Page 6
1	OLIVER CORREA	
2	COURTENAY CULLEN	
3	JARED DERMONT	
4	MICHAEL DIYANNI	
5	THOMAS DIRCKS	
6	LEIA-LEE DORAN	
7	JAMES V. DREW	
8	LISA FAUCHER	
9	JULIA FOSTER	
10	UDAY GORREPATI	
11	JASON GOTTLIEB	
12	BRANDON HAMMER	
13	MIRANDA HATCH	
14	AUTUMN HIGHSMITH	
15	JEREMY HILL	
16	DAVID HOLLERITH	
17	DERAR ISLIM	
18	KEEFE JOHNSON	
19	BRAD MICHAEL KAHN	
20	PAUL KINEALY	
21	BARAK KLEIN	
22	DIETRICH KNAUTH	
23	KONRAD LAESSER	
24	GREGORY LANDEGGER	
25	CHRISTINE LEE	

	1 9 7 01 20	
	Page 7	
1	MICHAEL LETO	
2	SAMUEL LEVANDER	
3	DAVID LOPEZ	
4	ALEXANDRA LOTTY	
5	AKIKO MATSUDA	
6	DAVID MAYO	
7	MICHELE MEISES	
8	JOHN NGUYEN	
9	TYLER OKADA	
10	KENNETH L. PERKINS	
11	AMELIA POLLARD	
12	ARIANNA PRETTO-SAKMANN	
13	GREGORY RAAB	
14	MOHIT RATHI	
15	CHRISTIAN RIBEIRO	
16	PHILIP REIS	
17	ANDREW FELIPE SAENZ	
18	THERESE SCHEUER	
19	JACK SCHICKLER	
20	JOE SCIAMETTA	
21	IAN SILVERBRAND	
22	KUNALKUMAR S. SOMANI	
23	BENJAMIN STEELE	
24	BRIAN STOUT	
25	ANDREW SULLIVAN	

	. g = 5. <b>2</b> 5	Page 8
1	ANDREW SWIFT	
2	BENJAMIN TAYLOR	
3	BRIAN TICHENOR	
4	ETHAN TROTZ	
5	MARIANNA UDEM	
6	RICHARD R. WESTON	
7	LAUREN WALKER	
8	NELSON WANG	
9	JACK WESTNER	
10	PAUL WIRTZ	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Page 9 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 THE COURT: Good afternoon. This is Judge Lane. Can folks hear me? 3 MS. VANLARE: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 4 Jane 5 Vanlare, Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton proposed counsel 6 to the Debtors. Thank you so much for agreeing to have this 7 hearing on an emergency basis. We very much appreciate it. 8 I will --9 THE COURT: It's my pleasure. No problem at all. 10 So I guess we'll go ahead with appearances and I just got 11 yours. Anyone else from your team that you want to 12 introduce? 13 MS. VANLARE: Yes, Your Honor. I have my 14 colleague Jack Massey here as well. 15 MR. MASSEY: Good afternoon. 16 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon to you 17 both. And let me ask if there's anybody here for the official committee unsecured creditors. 18 19 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, this is Brian Rosen, 20 counsel to one of the ad hoc groups. It's my understanding 21 that the official committee at this time has not selected 22 counsel. 23 THE COURT: All right. Well, thank you very much 24 for that update. It's a question I had and I've gotten your 25 appearances. Is there anyone else here for either of the ad

Page 10 1 hoc groups? 2 MR. FIEDLER: Your Honor, Ross Fiedler of Kirkland & Ellis on behalf of an ad hoc group. I'm joined on the 3 4 phone by my colleague Christopher Marcus. 5 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. Anyone 6 else for the ad hoc groups? All right. Anyone here for the 7 United States Trustee's office? 8 MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S. 9 Trustee's office. 10 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. I wasn't 11 sure if there's anyone here from any Gemini entity. 12 MR. FRELINGHUYSEN: Good afternoon, Your Honor. 13 Anson B. Frelinghuysen, Hughes Hubbard & Reed with my 14 colleague Jeff Margolin as well for Gemini Trust Company, 15 LLC. 16 THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon. Anyone 17 here for DCG? All right. And is there anyone here for 18 Cashcloud? All right. Any other appearances from anyone 19 who has not yet chimed in? All right. Hearing no further 20 appearances, I'll turn it over to Debtors' counsel to walk us through. I have read the motion and -- supporting the 21 22 declaration and have it all including the order so take it 23 away, counsel. 24 MS. VANLARE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm going to 25 pass the virtual podium to my colleague Mr. Massey.

Pg 11 of 26 Page 11 1 MR. MASSEY: Thanks. Good afternoon, Your Honor. 2 Can you hear me okay? THE COURT: I can hear you just fine. Thanks. 3 MR. MASSEY: Great. For the record again, Jack 4 5 Massey, Cleary Gottlieb Steen & Hamilton, proposed counsel 6 to the Debtors. Again, thank you so much for hearing us on 7 short notice and we really appreciate your chambers' 8 assistance in arranging this hearing on short notice as 9 well. Your Honor, we're here today --10 11 THE COURT: Well, I understand you were probably 12 quite surprised by the need of this hearing as -- as 13 surprised as anyone else so that's -- certainly happy to 14 arrange it and understand that the timing -- involved so 15 happy to help. 16 MR. MASSEY: That's exactly right. Okay. 17 Well, Your Honor, we're here today on an emergency motion filed at docket number 61, as you know, seeking this 18 19 Court's authorization for Genesis Global Holdco, LLC, which 20 is one of the Debtors in these cases which I'll call Holdco 21 for short to provide its consent to a DIP facility for the 22 benefit of Cashcloud, Inc., which is a debtor in an unrelated Chapter 11 proceeding where that DIP facility 23

would have the effect of priming the lien held by Holdco

over assets of Cashcloud.

24

Cashcloud is a Las Vegas-based entity that operates in the crypto currency space. It is the borrower under two debt facilities for which Holdco is the lender with a combine aggregate principle amount of approximately \$108 million. The first is an unsecured debt facility in the aggregate principle amount of \$100 million. The second is a secured debt facility in the aggregate principle amount of \$7.5 million. Cashcloud's borrowings under the secured debt facility are secured by a lien on substantially all of the assets of Cashcloud.

Yesterday, February 8th, Cashcloud filed a bankruptcy petition in the federal bankruptcy court in Las Vegas. It has sought that court's interim authority to approve a DIP facility in the amount of \$3 million which we understand will be followed by a request for borrowings up to \$5 million on a final basis. It is our understanding that the financing is critical to Cashcloud's ability to efficiently reorganize or sell its business under Chapter 11.

The proposed Cashcloud DIP would grant to the DIP lender a priming lien on all of the assets of Cashcloud.

This would have the effect of reducing the priority of Holdco's existing lien and that reduction in priority requires Holdco's consent. Cashcloud has offered to provide adequate protection for Holdco' secured claim in exchange

for the priming which would come in the form of cash interest payments in the amount of 6.25 percent per annum of the outstanding amount under the secured facility and I'll note this is a more favorable rate than the 5 percent that was proposed as of the date that we submitted the motion.

And the adequate protection package also includes payment of Holdco's attorney's fees associated with Cashcloud proceedings up to \$100,000, replacement liens, super priority claims by Holdco that would be junior only to the DIP facility, and other customary stipulations and provisions.

The Debtors believe that the adequate protection package is reasonable and that providing Holdco's consent to the DIP facility will maximize the likelihood and the amount of Holdco's recovery from Cashcloud on both its secured and unsecured claims.

As we note in our papers, we've filed the emergency motion out of an abundance of caution as we think that the consent by Holdco to the priming of its lien arguably constitutes an action in the ordinary course under Section 363(c) of the bankruptcy code for which no court approval is required. But in the alternative, we seek authority to grant Holdco's consent under Section 363(b) of the bankruptcy code as an extent -- excuse me -- as an exercise of the Debtors' sound business judgment.

Section 363(b) requires that a debtor show a good business reason for any action taken outside of the ordinary course of business. The good business reason here is twofold: First, the Debtors believe that an efficient reorganization or sale by Cashcloud would not be possible in the absence of DIP financing, and we believe that a prompt efficient process in the Cashcloud bankruptcy will maximize Holdco's recovery under the two outstanding loan facilities.

Second, the Debtors believe that the proposed adequate protection provides reasonable compensation to Holdco for any diminution in the value of its asset, the lien, that might result from the -- from its priming or from the potential exercise of remedies by the DIP lender.

Finally, and also out of an abundance of caution, we seek a modification of the automatic stay to the extent required to permit Holdco to consent to the Cashcloud DIP facility.

In support of the motion, we have filed a declaration at docket number 61-1 by Mr. Zul Jamal of Moelis, proposed investment banker to the Debtors. I'd like to offer his declaration into evidence now and Mr. Zul is with us in the virtual courtroom today via Zoom if Your Honor has any questions for him.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, Mr. Zul, for being here. Anybody wish to be heard as to the request to admit his declaration into evidence?

MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S. Trustee's Office. We have no objection. We did have some questions later on which we don't know would require his testimony or not, but we just want to reserve our right to ask questions later on.

THE COURT: Yeah, that's fine. So anyone wish to be heard as to Mr. Zul's declaration being admitted into evidence? All right. I'm going to receive it into evidence in support of the motion. Obviously, that doesn't prevent any party from, if necessary, seeking information from Mr. Zul, either informally through counsel in the form of questions and answers which I suspect is how we'll go or if we actually need something more formal. But it seems — that seems unlikely but we'll see. So that is admitted into evidence. So let me hear the rest of the presentation from Debtors' counsel.

(Declaration admitted into evidence)

MR. MASSEY: That's all for me, Your Honor. We have submitted a proposed order attached to the motion as Exhibit A and I and others from Cleary are happy to answer any questions that Your Honor or others may have about the proposed relief.

THE COURT: All right. I think the only question that popped into my head as to the order was whether you wanted to lay out the specific terms for adequate

protection, especially -- I was wondering if they might change -- they often do -- over time, and it sounds like their improved and I know the language in the order says substantially in the form of so I think you're -- of what's the motion, I think you're covered. I don't know if you want to say on terms as least as good as what's set forth in the motion or something else. Did you have a thought?

MR. MASSEY: So I will say that I think that we

MR. MASSEY: So I will say that I think that we had anticipated that the terms might change and that the language that we drafted, the substantially in the form of language, was intended to cover minor revisions to the adequate protection package such as the one that I mentioned earlier. So we think that the proposed language works and applies to where we expect to land but we'll take Your Honor's guidance if you think language --

THE COURT: Well, that's fine I guess. If you think you're far enough along in the process that you have terms and I don't know when their -- Cashcloud's -- hearing is but obviously, things can also change between the interim and the final. So am I understanding correctly that your thought is that it's best say substantially in the form described in the motion to offer the flexibility that in case there's some tweaks along the way?

MR. MASSEY: That's right, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. All right. Anything else,

Page 17 1 counsel, before I canvas the virtual room? 2 MR. MASSEY: Nothing more from me. Thank you. MS. VANLARE: Your Honor, just to -- one other 3 point. This is Jane Vanlare, Cleary Gottlieb Steen & 4 5 Hamilton. Just for the record, it's Mr. Zul Jamal who is 6 the declarant. THE COURT: Oh, I'm sorry. My -- a thousand 7 8 apologies to your declarant for getting his name wrong. 9 Shame on me and thank you for the correction. 10 MS. VANLARE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. 11 THE COURT: All right. All right. So with that, 12 let me ask if anyone from the ad hoc committees wishes to be 13 heard in connection with the pending motion. 14 MR. ROSEN: Your Honor, this is Brian Rosen, 15 Proskauer Rose. We have nothing to add to this today. 16 THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. 17 Anyone else? MR. FIEDLER: Your Honor, Ross Fiedler of Kirkland 18 19 & Ellis on behalf of an ad hoc group. We have spoken with 20 Moelis and Cleary about the relief requested. We understand the expedited timing here and although we wish we had more 21 22 time to review the motion, we defer to the Debtors' business 23 judgment. 24 We did have questions around the transfer of the 25 obligations under the secured -- unsecured loans from GGC to

Holdco but we are working through those with the Debtors so we do not have an objection and we will leave it at that.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you very much. And from the United States Trustee's Office?

MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, Greg Zipes with the U.S.

Trustee's Office. We are a little surprised that the

committee has not selected professionals right now which

might have been helpful for this hearing, but my office has

had a chance to review the motion. We obviously didn't have

a lot of time on this as with other parties. And we did

have a couple questions which probably can be addressed

informally.

We had a chance to look at Cashcloud's initial declaration and at least according to the declarant in that case in Las Vegas, there's cash of about \$8.6 million altogether and, again, we're just going based on the papers that are filed so we'd just like the Debtors representation they've looked into this and they're satisfied that there's sufficient assets with Cashcloud in the event that they're allowing their lien to be primed.

Your Honor, maybe I can just give the two or three points right now that they can --

THE COURT: Yeah. I would go ahead. I'm not quite sure what you mean by sufficient assets. Are you talking about the need for the financing which I assume the

bankruptcy judge in Nevada will address or are you talking about where it leaves the Debtor in terms of its position?

I'm assuming that, looking at the big picture, that the Debtors made a determination that given its business relationship and what I understand is Cashcloud that this is the best option available, but they can speak to that. But I'm just -- let me -- I just want to clarify your question.

MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, that's exactly it and I might not have articulated that in the way that intended to do that.

THE COURT: All right. All right. So what else was on your mind, Mr. Zipes?

MR. ZIPES: Okay. And then this on -- this ordinary course -- the Debtors' position this is ordinary course, I don't know that there's sufficient information to make that finding but I also think that the way they're arguing this, they would meet the burden of bankruptcy rule 6003 so I don't know that there needs to be a finding in that regard, and the Debtor can explain whether it does -- they do need a finding that -- it doesn't appear to be ordinary course but that -- there just might not be enough information in that regard.

And the final point is that, obviously, they need this today for some reason and we looked at the docket. It looks like there's a hearing tomorrow maybe in Las Vegas,

but --

THE COURT: Yes. That's what I was assuming was the driver for this is that they need to have a position to go into court with on -- in front of that judge and wanted to make sure that they had all their -- everything in a row and set up to be able to do that. That was my assumption.

MR. ZIPES: And, Your Honor, I think that's it from our --

THE COURT: All right. So let me ask Debtors'

counsel what you wanted to -- whether you wanted to address

-- how you wanted to address those specific questions. I

seem to have lost Debtors' counsel.

MS. VANLARE: We're here, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Oh, okay. Great.

MS. VANLARE: I think in terms of the first question, you know, we don't -- we think that this is appropriate in the ordinary course; however, we did plead in the -- we did argue in the alternative and we think we do meet the standard for this being appropriate transaction given the exercise of the Debtors' business judgment as a non-ordinary course transaction as well.

THE COURT: Let me make it clear. I would agree with that. I think you have no choice but really to come up with a position in order to represent yourselves in the bankruptcy court in Nevada and so you've -- and again, do

Pg 21 of 26 Page 21 correct me or confirm this, whatever's appropriate -- but my understanding is that you're -- you need to do that. You have done that, and you think that this -- the best course as a creditor in that bankruptcy. MS. VANLARE: That's exactly right, Your Honor, and we did -- would appreciate Your Honor's granting us this motion so that we could be ready for the first day hearing which is currently scheduled for tomorrow in the Cashcloud bankruptcy proceeding. THE COURT: All right. All right. And as to any other issues that Mr. Zipes raised? Anything in particular that you wanted to address? MS. VANLARE: I believe I've addressed both of his points, Your Honor, but if not, I'm happy to answer any other questions that you have or that Mr. Zipes has. THE COURT: All right. Let me turn it over to Mr. Zipes for anything that he would like to follow up on. MR. ZIPES: Your Honor, we have no further questions in this regard, and we would just ask that the order provide that the priming lien be limited to the \$5 million which I think is clear. THE COURT: All right. I think that's the

intention and that if there's any to come back to address

we come to it, but let me ask Debtors' counsel if I'm

other than to that court that we can cross that bridge when

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

reading the tealeaves correctly.

MS. VANLARE: That's fine with us, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. All right. Any other party that wishes to be heard on the Debtors' current motion? All right. Hearing no responses, I am happy to approve the request and I'm basing it on the evidence that's in record which exists of the declarations that are already in the record at ECF number 17 and 19 as the declaration of Mr. Jamal in support of this specific motion.

I find that the request satisfies all requirements of the applicable law and is in fact exactly the kind of things that judges appreciate. You know, the timing is what it is, but it's important that there's transparency and -- from folks -- and it's exactly what this motion provides which is a window into what's going on, what needs to go on with the Debtor as a Creditor in the Cashcloud bankruptcy in Nevada. So I am certainly -- understand the timing.

I'm more than happy to be here today for this kind of emergency hearing because, again, we appreciate the transparency of folks coming saying even if it is arguably ordinary course, we just want to be clear and get our ducks in a row. So I find it is an appropriate exercise of the Debtors' business judgment under all the facts and circumstances of the case and complies with all aspects of the bankruptcy code, including 363 that may be applicable

either as ordinary course or as a non-ordinary course matter of the Debtors' sound exercise of business judgment under 363(b).

So with that, my -- I'd ask one question about the order and I'm satisfied with the answer and the explanation. I can understand that, as we all know from the other side of this, that you may be conducting some negotiations. There may be some tweaks so I don't need you to change that language, in substantially in the form, discussed in the motion. And, obviously, the understanding is to the extent you can get to the best terms you can get, that's what you're going to do and that's -- I think that goes without saying.

So with that, the motion is approved, and let me ask Debtors' counsel if there's anything else that we need to address here this afternoon.

MS. VANLARE: Thank you very much, Your Honor. Nothing else from the Debtors.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Anything else from any other party? All right. Hearing no responses, that's not surprising. I know we will just scheduled for this hearing for this motion, but thank you very much. I'm going to so order the record so that even before the order is entered, the Debtors have authority to take the steps set forth in the motion, but we will obviously get the order

Page 24 entered promptly. So with that, all of you have a good afternoon. Be well. And I'm sure I'll be talking to you soon. Thank so much. MS. VANLARE: Thank you, Your Honor. (Whereupon these proceedings were concluded at 2:32 PM) 

	Pg 25 o	f 26		
			Page 25	
1		INDEX		
2				
3		RULINGS		
4			Page	Line
5				
6	Motion Approved		23	14
7				
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Page 26 1 CERTIFICATION 2 3 I, Sonya Ledanski Hyde, certified that the foregoing 4 transcript is a true and accurate record of the proceedings. 5 Songa M. deslarski Hydl 6 7 8 Sonya Ledanski Hyde 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 Veritext Legal Solutions 21 330 Old Country Road 22 Suite 300 23 Mineola, NY 11501 24 25 Date: February 13, 2023